Scheme II



"Reagents and conditions: (a) LiOAc, HMPT, 4 °C, 72 h; (b) K_2CO_3 , MeOH, 24 °C, 15 min; (c) H_2 , Rh-Al₂O₃, EtOAc, 1 atm, 24 °C, 6 h; (d) CH_2Br_2 , TiCl₄, Zn, CH_2Cl_2 , 24 °C, 5 min; (e) *n*-PrSLi, HMPT, 24 °C, 1.5 h.

iodolactone 4, mp 131-3 °C, $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ -370° (c 0.096),⁸ which was ozonolyzed to norketone 5, mp 131-3 °C, $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ -361° (c 0.088). Formation of the 9,15-cyclogibberellane skeleton was then effected by an intramolecular alkylation reaction mediated by potassium hydride, to afford 6, mp 167-8 °C, $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ -153° (c 0.094). Subsequent reaction with diphenylboron bromide9 not only removed the protecting group from the 3β -hydroxyl but also reestablished the desired A-ring/19 β \rightarrow 10 lactone structure, thereby forming 7 [colorless oil, $[\alpha]^{25} - 41^{\circ}$ (c 0.023)]. Although the basis of this apparently contrathermodynamic isomerization¹⁰ has not yet been elucidated, it appears to be general for this type of compound, and the saving of three steps greatly enhances the efficiency of these gibberellin to antheridiogen interconversions. Deletion of the functionality in ring A was effected by hydrogenolysis/hydrogenation of mesylate 8, $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ +41° (c 0.016), following which, several attempts were made to convert the resulting ketone 9, $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ -81° (c 0.006), into the target ester 10 by means of a Wittig reaction.¹¹ Although the formation of 11 (arising from a retrograde Michael reaction)³ in addition to 10 came as no surprise, the recovery of ether soluble material was only ca. 20%.¹² The problem was satisfactorily resolved by employing the Lombardo modification¹³ of the Oshima-Nozaki procedure,¹⁴ which afforded 10 in 87% yield as a colorless oil, $[\alpha]^{21}_{D}$ -65° (c 0.008). Comparison of its ¹H NMR spectrum with that of the natural substance revealed a close correspondence in the chemical shifts associated with the respective methylene and H(6) resonances, but in conformity with expectations arising from earlier spectroscopic analyses,⁵ the signals associated with H(5) and H(15) in 10 occurred at approximately 0.5 ppm higher field relative to the A. mexicana antheridiogen; i.e., assuming the same basic structure, the differences were consistent with the presence of a 1β -hydroxy function in the latter compound.

Confirmation of structure 2 for the new antheridiogen was established as outlined in Scheme II by treating mesylate 8 with lithium acetate in HMPT, which afforded a 4:5 mixture of the oily $S_N 2$ and syn- $S_N 2'$ products 12 $[\alpha]^{21} - 190^\circ$ (c 0.012), and 13 $[\alpha]^{21}_{D}$ -280° (c 0.015), respectively.¹⁵ Hydrolysis of the latter followed by hydrogenation gave hydroxy ketone 14, mp 220-1 °C, $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ -103° (c 0.013), and thence the desired olefinic ester 15 as a colorless foam $[\alpha]^{21}$ – 50° (c 0.003) by methylenation as before¹³ (once again the Wittig reaction proved to be unsatisfactory). The trimethyl silyl ether of this product was identical by GC/MS with that of the methyl ester derived from natural material,¹⁶ while the parent acid 2, mp 213-4 °C, $[\alpha]^{21}$ p -72° (c 0.013, MeOH), [derived by treatment of 16 with lithium propanethiolate in HMPT]¹⁷ afforded a virtually identical ¹H NMR spectrum with that obtained from an authentic sample.^{16,18}

The availability of 2 by means of this synthesis will greatly expedite investigations into the biological role of this intriguing substance, while the discovery of yet a further skeleton for this class of phytohormones not only injects considerable further interest into the area but also provokes contemplation of a possible alternative hypothesis for the biosynthetic origin of 1 based on an analogue of 2. The consolidation of the synthetic methodology and augmentation of the spectroscopic data base also enhances prospects for the elucidation of the structures possessed by further antheridiogens obtained from other ferns.¹⁵

Supplementary Material Available: Characterization data for compounds 10 and 15 (1 page). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(16) We gratefully acknowledge the cooperation of Dr. J. E. Nester, Professor N. Takahashi, and Dr. H. Yamane in providing spectroscopic data, and to Professor J. MacMillan and Dr. J. E. Nester for conducting ¹H NMR and GC/MS comparisons. The gibberellin substrates utilized in this project were generously donated by Abbott Laboratories and ICI Plant Protection. (17) Bartlett, P. A.; Johnson, W. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1970, 4459-4462.

(18) The sample of the natural antheridiogen was not completely pure. Variations in the chemical shifts of some resonances with changes in con-

centration also complicated the comparison. (19) Näf, W.; Nakanishi, K.; Endo, M. Bot. Rev. 1975, 41, 315-359.

Isotope Effects on Isotope Effects. Failure of the Rule of the Geometric Mean as Evidence for Tunneling¹

Mohammed Amin, Robin C. Price, and William H. Saunders, Jr.*

> Department of Chemistry, University of Rochester Rochester, New York 14627 Received February 1, 1988

We showed in earlier work that secondary β -tritium isotope effects in eliminations from 2-arylethyl derivatives are often larger than expected on the basis of rehybridization of the C-H(T) bond from sp^3 to sp^2 as the system progresses along the reaction co-ordinate.²⁻⁴ We suggested that a contribution of tunneling to the secondary isotope effect could be responsible for this discrepancy and presented calculations showing that a significant tunnel correction results when the bending motions of the non-

⁽⁸⁾ All compounds were fully characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, mass spectra, and HRMS. Optical rotations were measured in dichloromethane except where otherwise indicated.

⁽⁹⁾ Guindon, Y.; Yoakim, C.; Morton, H. E. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 3912-3920.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Cf. Kirkwood, P. S.; MacMillan, J.; Sinnott, M. L. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 1 1980, 2117-2121. (11) Kirkwood, P. S.; MacMillan, J.; Beale, M. H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1982, 699-706.

⁽¹²⁾ This result indicated that little of the initial adduct had closed to the oxaphosphetane and proceeded to the normal product. It was possible to glean further amounts of 10 by heating the aqueous layer with DBU, however, suggesting that the missing material could be accounted for by the water soluble betaine.

⁽¹³⁾ Lombardo, L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 4293-4296. The reagent was freshly prepared by stirring the components at 4 °C for 14 h before use. (14) Oshima, K.; Takai, K.; Hotta, H.; Nozaki, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978,

^{2417-2420.}

⁽¹⁵⁾ This reaction was first modelled on the structurally analogous gibberellin A7 methyl ester 3-mesylate, following an earlier study conducted in buffered aqueous acetone (Duri, Z. J.; Hanson, J. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 1 1984, 603-607). Under these conditions we obtained only a 20% yield of the desired 1β -substitution product, 20% of the 1α -adduct, and 43% of the 3α -isomer. However, the use of the dipolar aprotic solvent HMPT enhanced the yield of the 18-isomer considerably; this improvement was readily transferred to the preparation of 13.

⁽¹⁾ This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (2) Subramanian, Rm.; Saunders, W. H., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 7887-7890.

⁽³⁾ Saunders, W. H., Jr.; Price, R. C.; Subramanian, Rm. In Physical

Organic Chemistry 1986; Kobayashi, M., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1987; pp 197-202.

⁽⁴⁾ Saunders, W. H., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 164-169.

Table I. Secondary Tritium Isotope Effects in E2 Reactions of ArCLTCH₂X and ArCL₂CH₂X (L = H or D) at 50 °C

reaction	$k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm T}$	$k_{\rm D}/k_{\rm T}$	$(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm T})_{\rm calcd}^c$
1^{a} + EtONa/EtOH 2^{b} + t-BuOK/t-BuOH	1.204 ± 0.015 1.191 ± 0.012	$\begin{array}{r} 1.0314 \pm 0.010 \\ 1.0274 \pm 0.008 \end{array}$	1.106 ± 0.033 1.092 ± 0.026
^a 2-Phenylethyltrimet	hylammonium br	omide. b2-(p-Chl	orophenyl)ethyl

^a2-Phenylethyltrimethylammonium bromide. ^b2-(*p*-Chloroph tosylate. ^cFrom the relation $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm T} = (k_{\rm D}/k_{\rm T})^{3.26}$ (ref 8 and 9).

transferred hydrogen are coupled with the stretching motion of the transferred hydrogen. The calculations also predict that the tunnel correction to the secondary tritium isotope effect should be diminished when the transferred atom is deuterium rather than protium. We report here experimental evidence that this is indeed the case.

The experiments were modeled after the earlier ones by using 2-arylethyl derivatives tracer labeled with tritium in the β -position. The resulting mixture can undergo the following elimination reactions

$$ArCL_{2}CH_{2}X + RO^{-} \xrightarrow{2K_{1}} ArCL = CH_{2} + ROL + X^{-}$$

$$ArCLTCH_{2}X + RO^{-} \xrightarrow{k_{2}} ArCL = CH_{2} + ROT + X^{-}$$

$$k_{3} + ArCT = CH_{2} + ROL + X^{-}$$

When L = H, $k_1/k_3 = (k_H/k_T)_{sec}$, and when L = D, $k_1/k_3 = (k_D/k_T)_{sec}$. We determined $(k_H/k_T)_{sec}$ and $(k_D/k_T)_{sec}$ in the manner previously described² for the reactions of 2-phenylethyltrimethylammonium ion (1) with ethoxide in ethanol and 2-(p-chlorophenyl)ethyl tosylate (2) with tert-butoxide in tert-butyl alcohol, both at 50 °C. The results are given in Table I.

The secondary $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm T}$ values are both substantial.⁵ We pointed out earlier² that the fractionation factors of Hartshorn and Shiner^{6.7} predict $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm T}$ = 1.17 at 50 °C for *complete* rehybridization. Since proton transfer is incomplete in the transition state, it is unlikely that rehybridization would be complete, so the actual contribution of rehybridization to $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm T}$ is probably well below 1.17. The $k_{\rm D}/k_{\rm T}$ are very much smaller than the $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm T}$ and remain smaller when converted to $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm T}$ (last column of Table I) by the relationship^{8,9}

$$k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm T} = (k_{\rm D}/k_{\rm T})^{3.26}$$
 (1)

This relationship is obeyed by the calculated semiclassical (without tunneling) primary (error $\leq 3.8\%$) and secondary (error $\leq 1.1\%$) isotope effects reported in ref 4. If masses are assumed to be in the ratio of reduced masses of C-H, C-D, and C-T instead of 1:2:3, the exponent in eq 1 becomes 3.34, but an exponent of ca. 6 is required to bring our calculated and directly measured $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm T}$ values into agreement. Any protium in the deuteriated substrate (<2% by NMR) would make k_D/k_T appear too large rather than too small.

That both measured $(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm T})_{\rm sec}$ values in Table I are larger than predicted for rehybridization and larger than the $(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm T})_{\rm sec}$ values calculated from $(k_D/k_T)_{sec}$ is consistent only with model calculations that include tunneling.⁴ The disagreements between columns 2 and 4 of Table I also constitute violations of the rule of the geometric mean.¹⁰ The principle behind the rule is that the isotope effect for a doubly labeled species should be very close to the product of the isotope effects for the corresponding singly labeled species. In other words, the two isotopes should exert their effects independently. This statement can be expressed algebraically in eq 2, where the subscript refers to the transferred and the superscript to the nontransferred atom

$$k_{\rm H}^{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}^{\rm T} = (k_{\rm H}^{\rm H}/k_{\rm H}^{\rm T})(k_{\rm H}^{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}^{\rm H})$$
 (2)

But eq 2 can be true only if

$$k_{\rm H}^{\rm H}/k_{\rm H}^{\rm T} = k_{\rm D}^{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}^{\rm T} \tag{3}$$

The values in column 2 of Table I are $k_{\rm H}^{\rm H}/k_{\rm H}^{\rm T}$ and in column 4 are $k_{\rm D}^{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}^{\rm T}$. It is evident that eq 3 does not hold and hence neither does eq 2. Specifically, $k_{\rm D}^{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}^{\rm T}$ is less than $k_{\rm H}^{\rm H}/k_{\rm H}^{\rm T}$. Qualitatively similar effects of the mass of the transferred atom on secondary deuterium isotope effects have been observed in reactions involving NAD⁺ and NAD⁺ analogues,¹¹⁻¹³ but only in the nonenzymatic reactions¹¹ can one be reasonably sure that the hydride transfer is wholly rate determining. We continue to explore other predictions of the calculations.

(13) Srinivasan, R.; Fisher, H. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 4301-4305.

Triticones A and B, Novel Phytotoxins from the Plant Pathogenic Fungus Drechslera tritici-repentis

Fumio Sugawara* and Nobutaka Takahashi

RIKEN (The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research), Wako, Saitama 351, Japan

Gary A. Strobel* and Scott A. Strobel

Department of Plant Pathology, Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana 59717

Helen S. M. Lu and Jon Clardy*

Department of Chemistry-Baker Laboratory, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853-1301 Received February 18, 1988

Fungi of the genus Drechslera (Helminthosporium) attack a variety of plants.¹ The attack is usually chemical, and the disease symptoms are caused by plant toxins emanating from the fungal hyphae.² Phytotoxins produced by fungi are interesting for several reasons: they are often new structural types, they can be used in studying plant physiology, they can serve as models for new herbicides, and they can be used in screening programs for resistant plant strains.³⁻⁵ D. tritici-repentis attacks crested wheat grass (Agropyron cristatum), quackgrass (A. repens), rye (Secale cereale), and wheat (Triticum vulgare), and the wheat disease is currently a major agricultural problem.⁶ The symptons of fungal attack are lesions which appear as light to reddish brown elliptical spots 1-2 weeks after inoculation. These lesions suggest that D. tritici-repentis produces one or more phytotoxins, but no

0002-7863/88/1510-4086\$01.50/0 © 1988 American Chemical Society

⁽⁵⁾ We believe our previously reported (ref 2) $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm T}$ for 1 + EtONa (1.259 ± 0.010 at 40 °C) is somewhat high, for the two present workers agree on a lower value (1.189 ± 0.008 at 40 °C, R.C.P., and the present value at 50 °C, M.A.).

⁽⁶⁾ Hartshorn, S. R.; Shiner, V. J., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 9002-9012.

<sup>9002-9012.
(7)</sup> Buddenbaum, W. E.; Shiner, V. J., Jr. In Isotope Effects on Enzyme-Catalyzed Reactions; Cleland, W. W., O'Leary, M. H., Northrup, D. B., Eds.; University Park Press: Baltimore, 1977; p 11.
(8) Melander, L.; Saunders, W. H., Jr. Reaction Rates of Isotopic Molecules; Wiley: New York, 1980; pp 28-29, 143-144.
(9) Swain, C. G.; Stivers, E. C.; Reuwer, J. F., Jr.; Schaad, L. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 5885-5893.
(10) Bigeleisen, J. J. Chem. Phys. 1955, 23, 2264-2267.

⁽¹¹⁾ Ostović, D.; Roberts, R. M. G.; Kreevoy, M. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983. 105. 7629-7631 (12) Cook, P. F.; Oppenheimer, N. J.; Cleland, W. W. Biochemistry 1981,

^{20, 1817-1825.}

⁽¹⁾ Smiley, R. W. Compendium of Turfgrass Diseases; American Phytopathological Society: St. Paul, MN, 1983.
(2) Scheffer, R. P. Toxins and Plant Pathogenesis; Daly, J. M., Deverall, B. J., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1983; pp 1-40, and references therein.
(3) Strobel, G.; Sugawara, F.; Clardy, J. Allelochemicals: Role in Agriculture and Forestry; Waller, G. R., Ed.; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1987; pp 516-523, and references therein.
(4) Sugawara, F.; Strobel, G. A.; Fisher, L. E.; Van Duyne, G. D.; Clardy, J. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1985, 197, 8291-4.
(5) Sugawara, F.; Strobel, G. A. Plant Science 1986, 43, 1.
(6) Wiese, M. V. Compendium of Wheat Diseases, 2nd ed., American Phytopathological Society Press: St. Paul, MN, 1987; pp 42-43.

Phytopathological Society Press: St. Paul, MN, 1987; pp 42-43.